

BS EN 1192:2000



Test of: 44mm single door set

Doors - Classification of strength requirements

A Report To: Morland Buttington Cross Ent Park, Welshpool, Powys. SY21 8SL

Document Reference: WIL 415946

Date: 10/09/2019

Copy: 1

Issue No.: 1

Page 1



TEST CONCLUSIONS

Samples of:

Manufacturer Morland

Product Single doorset

Model 44mm single doorset

have been tested in accordance with: BS EN 1192:2000.

By Element Materials Technology, a UKAS accredited Testing Laboratory (No. 0621)

At Unit 3 Wednesbury One, Black Country New Road, Wednesbury, WS10 7NZ. Results and comments as detailed below:

Clause	Description	Compliance
4.1	General - Class 4- SEVERE Duty	Yes
4.2	Resistance to vertical load	YES
4.3	Resistance to static torsion	YES
4.4	Resistance to soft and heavy body impact	YES
4.5	Resistance to hard body impact	YES

No inferences can be made regarding performance against other requirements of this standard

Tests marked "N/A" are not applicable to the sample under test. Tests marked "N/T" were not applied to the sample under test

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 2 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





AUTHORISATION

Tests performed by: Josh Ratcliffe, Test Engineer

Report issued by: Chris Bryan, Senior Test Engineer

Signed

Date 10th September 2019

For and on behalf of Element Materials Technology

Report authorised by: Mark West, Door & Window Laboratory Manager

Date 10th September 2019

For and on behalf of Element Materials Technology

Report issued: 10 September 2019



NOTE.

Tests marked "Not UKAS Accredited" are not covered by the Laboratory UKAS accreditation schedule.

Tests marked NT were not tested Tests marked NA are not applicable to the product on test.

The laboratory has tested the product supplied by the client as sampled in accordance with their own requirements

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, (and then only as permitted by copyright laws), without written approval from Element Materials Technology. All work and services carried out by Element Materials Technology Wednesbury Ltd are subject to, and conducted in accordance with, the Standard Terms and Conditions of Element Materials Technology Wednesbury Ltd, which are available at https://www.element.com/terms/terms-and-conditions or upon request.

Document No.: WIL 415946 Page No.: 3 of 17 Author: C Bryan Issue Date: 10/09/2019 Client: Morland 1 Issue No.:







CONTENTS	DACENO
CONTENTS	PAGE NO.

TEST CONCLUSIONS	. 2
AUTHORISATION	. 3
TEST DETAILS	. 5
TEST PROCEDURE	. 6
INITIAL OBSERVATIONS	. 7
TEST SPECIMEN	10
SCHEDULE OF COMPONENTS	11
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA & TEST RESULTS	14
CONCLUSIONS	16
LIMITATIONS	16
REVISION HISTORY	17

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 4 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





TEST DETAILS

CLIENT DETAILS

Company name Morland

Address Buttington Cros Ent Park

Welshpool Powys SY21 8SL

Contact Mike Bebb

ORDER DETAILS

Order number MP0577 Dated 19/06/2019

SAMPLE DETAILS

Outer frame 990 x 2081 x 100mm
Opening leaves 924 x 2040 x 44mm
Configuration Single doorset

Material Timber

Details of Hardware

Hinges 3No. Zoo Ball bearing hinges. Ref: ZHSS243RS Lock Zoo 72mm sash 52mm backset. Ref: ZDL005RSS

Handles Zoo Levers on Rose 19mm DIA 304 Grade SSS. Ref: ZCS030SSS

TEST DETAILS

Test specification BS EN 1192 :2000

Full test Yes
Test to clauses All

Test methods BS EN 12046-2:2000 operating forces

BS EN 947:1999 vertical load BS EN 948:1999 static torsion BS EN 949:1999 soft body impact BS EN 950:1999 hard body impact

 Sample received
 27/06/2019

 Test started
 01/07/2019

 Test completed
 01/07/2019

Special Test requirements

None

Other reports to be used in conjunction

None

used in conjunct with this report

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 5 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





TEST PROCEDURE

Introduction This test report should be read in conjunction with the Standard BS EN 1192:2000.

The specimens were judged on their ability to comply with the performance criteria as required in BS EN 1192:2000, with test methods BS EN 12046-2:2000, BS EN 947:1999, BS EN 948:1999, BS EN 949:1999, BS EN 950:1999 classified in

accordance with BS EN 1191:2000.

Instruction To Test

Initial requirement was for a class 4 as defined in BS EN 1192:2000.

Test Specimen Construction

A description of the test construction is given in the Schedule of Components. The description is based on a detailed survey of the specimens and information supplied but the specimens and information supplied

by the sponsor of the test.

Installation The doorset was supplied mounted within a timber sub-frame of nominal section 75

x 100mm fitted flush with the exterior face, in accordance with the clients fitting

instructions.

Sampling The samples were not independently witnessed or selected and were provided

direct from the test sponsor.

Test Climate The sample was conditioned in the laboratory in the range 15-30 °C and 25-75%

humidity.

The temperature and humidity in the lab was maintained in the range 24-25.3°C

and 40.4-61% humidity for the duration of the test.

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 6 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





INITIAL OBSERVATIONS

The internal face of the sample





 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 7 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1









 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 8 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1











 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 9 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019


 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





TEST SPECIMEN

Figure 1- General Elevation of Test Specimen (External Face)



Do not scale. All dimensions are in mm

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 10 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





SCHEDULE OF COMPONENTS

(Refer to Figures 1 to 3)
(All values are nominal unless stated otherwise)
(All other details are as stated by the sponsor)

Variants

None

<u>Item</u> <u>Description</u>

1. Door frame head

Reference : Plant on Material : MR MDF

Density : 680kg/m³ (stated)
Section size : 30mm thick

Rebate : 32 x 12mm MR MDF doorstop

Fixing jamb to head joints

i. type : Confirmat screws

ii. sizeiii. quantityiii. quantityiii. 4No.Details of adhesiveiii. 5 x 50mmiii. 4No.iii. PVA Glue

2. Door frame jamb

Reference : Plant on Material : MR MDF

Density : 680kg/m³ (stated)
Section size : 30mm thick

Rebate : 32 x 12mm MR MDF doorstop

3. Door frame weather seals

Description : Exitex Twin Flipper

Manufacturer: ExitexReference: 1.10.0500Fixing method: Self-adhesivePosition: Jamb & header

Continuity : Uninterrupted by hardware

4. Door leaf

Supplier/manufacturer : Morland / Egger Overall leaf size : 2040 x 924 x 44mm

5. Door leaf core

Supplier/manufacturer : Egger

Material : Cellulosic material
Density : 540kg/m³ (stated)

Thickness : 44 / 54mm

6. Door leaf lippings

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 11 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1







Description

Item

Position

Fitted to all four edges

Material

1150kg/m3 (stated) Density

Details of adhesive

7. Hinges

Supplier/manufacturer Zoo

Description Ball bearing Reference ZHSS243RS Primary material S. Steel grade

Size of knuckle 14mm Size of blades 100 x 30mm Quantity 3No.

0.8mm graphite

Intumescent protection (if applicable) Position of hinges

i. top hinge

200mm from top of door to top of hinge middle hinge 400mm from top of door to top of hinge ii. 1738mm from top of door to top of hinge bottom hinge iii.

Fixing hinge to doorleaf

Stainless steel screw i. type

ii. size 2No. 5 x 30mm screws & 2No. 5 x 60mm screws

iii. quantity 4No.

Fixing hinge to frame

Stainless steel screw i. type

ii. size 5 x 25mm iii. quantity 4No.

8. Lock

Supplier/manufacturer Zoo

Description 72mm sash 52mm backset

Reference ZDL005RSS Face plate size 20 x 230mm Position 1000mm FFL

Fixings

type Screw i. 3 x 20mm ii. size iii. quantity 2No.

9. Lock Keeps

Document No.: WIL 415946 Page No.: 12 of 17 C Bryan 10/09/2019 Author: Issue Date: Client: Morland Issue No.: 1







Description

Item

Supplier/manufacturer : Zoo

Description : Locking keep
Reference : ZUKS364SS
Material : Stainless steel

Fixing keeps to frame

i. typeii. sizeiii. quantityii. Screwii. 3 x 20mmiii. 3No.

10. Lever handles

Supplier/manufacturer : Zoo

Description : Levers on Rose 19mm DIA 304 Grade SSS

Reference : ZCS030SSS
Material : 304 GRADE SSS

Lever length : 125mm

Fixings

i. type

ii. size : 2No. 8mm Bolt through fixings 4No. Screws to face of

door

iii. quantity : 6No.

11. Door closer

Supplier/manufacturer : Briton
Description : Arm closer
Reference : 11208

Overall size : 236 x 38 x 60mm

Fixing device to doorleaf

i. type : Stainless steel screws

ii. size : 4 x 25mm iii. quantity : 4No.

Fixing device to frame

i. type : Stainless steel screws

ii. size : 4 x 50mm iii. quantity : 2No.

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 13 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





PERFORMANCE CRITERIA & TEST RESULTS

The average force required to enable the sample to latch must not	PASS
exceed those defined in table 1 of BS EN 12217, Class 1 (75N) for external doorsets & class 2 (50N) for internal doorsets. The average force or torque required to operate the hardware must not exceed those defined for the relevant class in table 1 on BS EN 12217, Class 1 (100N or 10Nm) for external doorsets & class 2 (50N or 5Nm) for internal doorsets). The average force required to commence and maintain motion must not exceed those defined for the relevant class in table 1 on BS EN 12217, Class 1 (75N) for external doorsets & Class 2 (50N) for internal doorsets	CLASS 2
The sample met the requirements of Class 2.	
An average force of 10.83N was required to latch the sample. An average force of 29.5N was required to disengage the hardware. An average force of 11N was required to commence and maintain motion.	
The doorset was tested in accordance with EN 947, under a load of 1000N as required by Class 4 of EN 1192, with a preload of 200N. To achieve the requirements of the class the resultant residual deformation should not exceed 1mm, and the specimen should continue to operate normally.	PASS CLASS 4
A load of 1000N was applied, and the doorset continued to operate normally.	
The sample met the requirements of Class 4. The deflection under full load was 2.95mm, and the residual deflection was 0.2mm.	
The doorset was tested in accordance with EN 948, under a load of 350N as required by Class 4 of EN 1192, with a preload of 200N. To achieve the requirements of the class the resultant residual deformation should not exceed 2mm, and the specimen should continue to operate normally.	PASS CLASS 4
A load of 350N was applied, and the doorset continued to operate normally.	
The sample met the requirements of Class 4. The deflection under full load was 12.34mm, and the residual deflection was 1.23mm.	
The doorset was tested in accordance with EN 949, a soft & heavy body impact of 180J was applied as required for class 4. To achieve the requirements of the class the resultant residual deformation in flatness should not exceed 2mm, and the specimen shall continue to operate normally	PASS CLASS 4
	force or torque required to operate the hardware must not exceed those defined for the relevant class in table 1 on BS EN 12217, Class 1 (100N or 10Nm) for external doorsets & class 2 (50N or 5Nm) for internal doorsets). The average force required to commence and maintain motion must not exceed those defined for the relevant class in table 1 on BS EN 12217, Class 1 (75N) for external doorsets & Class 2 (50N) for internal doorsets The sample met the requirements of Class 2. An average force of 10.83N was required to latch the sample. An average force of 29.5N was required to disengage the hardware. An average force of 11N was required to commence and maintain motion. The doorset was tested in accordance with EN 947, under a load of 1000N as required by Class 4 of EN 1192, with a preload of 200N. To achieve the requirements of the class the resultant residual deformation should not exceed 1mm, and the specimen should continue to operate normally. A load of 1000N was applied, and the doorset continued to operate normally. The sample met the requirements of Class 4. The deflection under full load was 2.95mm, and the residual deflection was 0.2mm. The doorset was tested in accordance with EN 948, under a load of 350N as required by Class 4 of EN 1192, with a preload of 200N. To achieve the requirements of the class the resultant residual deformation should not exceed 2mm, and the specimen should continue to operate normally. A load of 350N was applied, and the doorset continued to operate normally. The sample met the requirements of Class 4. The deflection under full load was 12.34mm, and the residual deflection was 1.23mm.

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 14 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





Clause	Result	Pass/Fail
	The sample met the requirements of class 4, with a residual deformation of 0mm on the internal face, and a residual deformation of 0mm on the external face.	
	No damage was observed during the test	
Clause 4.5 Resistance to hard body	The doorleaf was tested in accordance with EN 950, hard body impacts of 3J were applied as required for class 2.	PASS CLASS 4
impact	To achieve the requirements of the class the mean value of the diameters of indentation should not exceed 20mm, and the mean values of the depths of indentation should not exceed 1.0mm, with the maximum depth not exceeding 1.5mm.	
	The sample met the requirements of class 2. The mean value of the depth of indentation was 0.09mm. The maximum value of the depth of indentation was 0.13mm. The mean value of the diameter of indentation was 8.07mm. No damage was observed during the test.	

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 15 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1





CONCLUSIONS

Evaluation against objective

The sample as provided by the client was subjected to operational & strength testing in accordance with BS EN 1192:2000 and achieved the requirements of Class 4.

Observations & comments

LIMITATIONS

Limitations

The results relate only to the behaviour of the specimens of the element of construction under the particular conditions of test. They are not intended to be the sole criteria for assessing the potential performance of the element in use, nor do they reflect the actual behaviour in use.

Range of door assemblies covered by this report

It is our opinion that the range of door assemblies covered by this report are limited to the following

- Assemblies with identical hardware fitted no further apart than in the tested assembly
- Assemblies of the same or smaller overall dimensions to the tested assembly

Uncertainty of Measurement

The uncertainties of measurements calculated for a confidence level of 95% throughout these tests are within the limits of these tolerances.

The standard specifies the following tolerances

■ Forces: ±2%

Distances: ±1mm for tape measures ± 0.01mm for dial gauges

Times: ±5s

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 16 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1







REVISION HISTORY

This issue of the report replaces all previous issues that are now withdrawn.

Issue No :	Re - Issue Date :	
Revised By:	Approved By:	
Reason for Revision:		

END OF REPORT

 Document No.:
 WIL 415946
 Page No.:
 17 of 17

 Author:
 C Bryan
 Issue Date:
 10/09/2019

 Client:
 Morland
 Issue No.:
 1

